	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/762,612	DONAHUE, CHRISTOPHER A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tara L. Mayo	3671
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Tara L. Mayo</u> .	(3)	
(2) Edward M. Livingston.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 December 2004	Time: <u>10:50 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.	·	
Rejection(s) discussed: None	·	
Claims discussed: 27	•	
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner advised Applicant's representative that claim 27 as amended in the response filed 25 October 2004 included limitations which lacked proper antecedent basis. Applicant's representative agreed to the attached Examiner's amendment adding the limitations of canceled claim 26.